



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

54  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,246	01/23/2002	Kenneth J. Latimer JR.	102030-10-NP	3719

24964 7590 06/23/2005

GOODWIN PROCTER L.L.P  
103 EISENHOWER PARKWAY  
ROSELAND, NJ 07068

EXAMINER
----------

ALBERTALLI, BRIAN LOUIS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2655

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/054,246

Applicant(s)

LATIMER, KENNETH J.

Examiner

Brian L Albertalli

Art Unit

2655

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2,4,5 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2,4,5 and 8-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. The amendments to the claims have been entered. Claims 2, 4, and 5 are currently amended, claims 1, 3, 6, and 7 are currently cancelled, and new claims 8-19 have been added.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Regarding the use of Official Notice used in the rejection of claim 5 in the previous Office Action, it is noted that the Applicant has not specifically challenged this assertion, thus the well-known statement is taken to be admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03.
3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 4, and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Specification***

4. The amendments to the specification overcome the objections made in the previous Office Action. The objections to the specification are withdrawn.

### ***Claim Objections***

5. The amendments to the claims overcome the objections made in the previous Office Action. The objections to the claims are withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2, 4, 8-13, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al. (U.S. Patent 6,243,377), in view of Downes (*Internetworking Technologies Handbook*).

In regard to claim 2, Phillips et al. disclose a system (Fig. 4) capable of transmitting voice and data signals over a wide-area network (WAN) circuit (subscriber line DSL 100); said WAN circuit having a line rate (many rate accesses formats are available, column 3, lines 34-39); said system comprising:

a physical layer interface (Fig. 1, subscriber equipment RU 10, illustrated in detail in Fig. 4 has data interface 42) for connecting a subscriber premise device (computer device 12) between a LAN and said WAN circuit for providing packets representing data signals to said WAN circuit (data from the computer device 12 are received by the multiplexer/demultiplexer circuit M/D 40 and transmitted on the WAN subscriber line 100, column 4, lines 2-8 and lines 13-15);

a telephone line interface for connecting a standard telephone line equipment to said WAN circuit (subscriber line interface circuit 43 accepts analog input from telephone device 13, column 4, lines 8-10); said telephone line interface containing a

Art Unit: 2655

CODEC that digitizes analog signals for transmission of voice packets to said WAN circuit (column 4, lines 10-15);

a fragmenting device containing a software algorithm rendered effective by the presence of packets from said telephone line interface for fragmenting data signals into labeled packets interspersed with said voice packets as the data signals pass there through; said labeled packets having a fragmented packet size determined by the line rate (line rates are expressed by the number of B channels available, and generally are expressed as  $x\text{B}+\text{D}$ , where  $x$  represents the number of B channels available, and thus, the line rate, column 3, lines 27-37; an example is given with a  $2\text{B}+\text{D}$  line rate, where, before the phone is off the hook, a packet size of  $2\text{B}$  is available for data, column 3, lines 39-42; when the phone goes off the hook, or ring signal is received, one of the B channels is dynamically allocated to the telephone, thus reducing the packet size available for data to a single B channel, column 3, lines 42-48; furthermore, data from the computer 12 is placed in the available B channel, which has a capacity of 64 kb/s, but due to labeling, the data rate is lower, column 3, lines 39-51); and

a packet flow device configured to mix data packets and voice packets into a stream provided to said WAN circuit (M/D 40 time division multiplexes the voice and data signals into a stream for transmission over subscriber line 100, column 4, lines 2-4 and lines 10-15).

Phillips et al. do not disclose that the data from the user computer 12 is supplied to the subscriber terminal RU 10 through an Ethernet type LAN connection.

Downes discloses Ethernet is the most common LAN technology in use (page 7-1, Background). Downes further disclose a data frame format required for an Ethernet implementation, and that the data portion of that frame is up to 1500 bytes in size (page 7-6, Data paragraph).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Phillips et al. so the user computer 12 communicated with the subscriber terminal RU 10 through a standard Ethernet connection because Ethernet technology provides an easily understandable, low-cost, flexible, and manufacturer independent means for communicating between devices, as taught by Downes (page 7-1). Furthermore, the Ethernet frames would necessarily have to be fragmented, since the data capacity of the WAN could be as low as 64 kb/s.

In regard to claim 4, Phillips et al. disclose the size of the fragmented packet is chosen to ensure that the packets from said telephone line can have an arrival rate of 64 Kbps regardless of the network line speed or Ethernet traffic (when the telephone is off hook, a B channel at 64 Kbps is dynamically allocated for voice packets, column 3, lines 42-46).

In regard to claim 8, Phillips et al. disclose a system (Fig. 4) for multiplexing Ethernet packets and voice signals over a wide-area network (WAN) circuit (subscriber line DSL 100) connecting a subscriber premise and a provider premise, the WAN circuit

Art Unit: 2655

having a line rate (many rate accesses formats are available, column 3, lines 34-39),  
the system comprising:

an Ethernet interface configured to communicate data packets to and from a LAN  
(data interface 42, column 4, lines 2-8);

a telephone line interface coupled to telephone equipment and configured to  
produce voice packets (subscriber line interface circuit 43, column 4, lines 8-13);

a fragmentation device configured to receive packets from the LAN interface and,  
responsive to the presence of voice packets at the telephone line interface, to fragment  
LAN packets into labeled data packets, wherein the size of the labeled packets is based  
on the WAN line rate (line rates are expressed by the number of B channels available,  
and generally are expressed as  $xB+D$ , where  $x$  represents the number of B channels  
available, and thus, the line rate, column 3, lines 27-37; an example is given with a  
 $2B+D$  line rate, where, before the phone is off the hook, a packet size of  $2B$  is available  
for data, column 3, lines 39-42; when the phone goes off the hook, or ring signal is  
received, one of the B channels is dynamically allocated to the telephone, thus reducing  
the packet size available for data to a single B channel, column 3, lines 42-48;

furthermore, data from the computer 12 is placed in the available B channel, which has  
a capacity of 64 kb/s, but due to labeling, the data rate is lower, column 3, lines 39-51);

a multiplexer configured to multiplex the labeled data packets with the voice  
packets into a stream (M/D 40 time division multiplexes the voice and data signals,  
column 4, lines 2-4 and lines 10-13); and

a WAN interface configured to communicate the multiplexed stream of voice packets and labeled data packets over the WAN circuit (the stream is transmitted over subscriber line 100, column 4, lines 13-15).

Phillips et al. do not disclose that the data from the user computer 12 is supplied to the subscriber terminal RU 10 through an Ethernet type LAN connection.

Downes discloses Ethernet is the most common LAN technology in use (page 7-1, Background). Downes further disclose a data frame format required for an Ethernet implementation, and that the data portion of that frame is up to 1500 bytes in size (page 7-6, Data paragraph).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Phillips et al. so the user computer 12 communicated with the subscriber terminal RU 10 through a standard Ethernet connection because Ethernet technology provides an easily understandable, low-cost, flexible, and manufacturer independent means for communicating between devices, as taught by Downes (page 7-1). Furthermore, the Ethernet frames would necessarily have to be fragmented, since the data capacity of the WAN could be as low as 64 kb/s.

In regard to claim 9, Phillips et al. disclose the multiplexer is further configured to multiplex the labeled data packets with the voice packets into a stream according to a priority scheme whereby one voice packet alternates with one labeled data packet (see Fig. 2, one of the B channels is dynamically allocated to voice signal, for example B1, leaving the next channel, B2, available for data, column 3, lines 42-48).



In regard to claim 10, Phillips et al. disclose the size is further based on a sampling rate at which the voice packets are produced (one full B channel is allocated for the voice signal, thereby reducing the available capacity for data by 64 Kbps, column 3, lines 42-48).

In regard to claim 11, Phillips et al. disclose the data packets are variable in size (combined data channels vary in size according to whether the telephone is in use, column 3, lines 37-46).

In regard to claim 12, Phillips et al. disclose the voice packets are fixed in size (one B channel is assigned to the voice data, column 3, lines 42-46).

In regard to claim 13, Phillips et al. disclose a method for multiplexing data and voice signals over a wide-area network circuit connecting a subscriber premise and a provider premise, the method comprising:

- receiving data (column 4, lines 2-4);

- receiving voice packets (column 4, lines 8-10);

- responsive to the presence of voice packets, fragmenting the data into a plurality of data packets having a size calculated to ensure that the transmission time of the data packet over the WAN circuit is no longer than the transmission time of a voice packet (line rates are expressed by the number of B channels available, and generally are

Art Unit: 2655

expressed as  $xB+D$ , where  $x$  represents the number of B channels available, and thus, the line rate, column 3, lines 27-37; an example is given with a  $2B+D$  line rate, where, before the phone is off the hook, a packet size of  $2B$  is available for data, column 3, lines 39-42; when the phone goes off the hook, or ring signal is received, one of the B channels is dynamically allocated to the telephone, thus reducing the packet size available for data to a single B channel, column 3, lines 42-48);

labeling each of the data packets with an identifier indicating where the fragmented data packets fit within the original data (data from the computer 12 is placed in the available B channel, which has a capacity of 64 kb/s, but due to labeling, the data rate is lower, the labeling necessarily indicates how the data should be reconstructed to recreate the original data signal, column 3, lines 39-51);

multiplexing the labeled data packets and the voice packets over the WAN circuit (M/D 40 time division multiplexes the voice and data signals into a stream for transmission over subscriber line 100, column 4, lines 2-4 and lines 10-15).

Phillips et al. do not disclose that the data from the user computer 12 is supplied to the subscriber terminal RU 10 through an Ethernet type LAN connection.

Downes discloses Ethernet is the most common LAN technology in use (page 7-1, Background). Downes further discloses a data frame format required for an Ethernet implementation, and that the data portion of that frame is up to 1500 bytes in size (page 7-6, Data paragraph).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Phillips et al. so the user computer 12 communicated with

Art Unit: 2655

the subscriber terminal RU 10 through a standard Ethernet connection because Ethernet technology provides an easily understandable, low-cost, flexible, and manufacturer independent means for communicating between devices, as taught by Downes (page 7-1). Furthermore, the Ethernet frames would necessarily have to be fragmented, since the data capacity of the WAN could be as low as 64 kb/s.

In regard to claim 17, Phillips et al. disclose the size is based on the WAN line rate (line rates are expressed by the number of B channels available, and generally are expressed as  $xB+D$ , where  $x$  represents the number of B channels available, and thus, the line rate, column 3, lines 27-37; an example is given with a  $2B+D$  line rate, where, before the phone is off the hook, a packet size of  $2B$  is available for data, column 3, lines 39-42; when the phone goes of the hook, or ring signal is received, one of the B channels is dynamically allocated to the telephone, thus reducing the packet size available for data to a single B channel, column 3, lines 42-48).

In regard to claim 18, Phillips et al. disclose the size is based on a sampling rate at which the voice packets are produced (one full B channel is allocated for the voice signal, thereby reducing the available capacity for data by 64 Kbps, column 3, lines 42-48).

In regard to claim 19, Phillips et al. disclose multiplexing the labeled data packets with the voice packets over the WAN circuit according to a priority scheme whereby one

Art Unit: 2655

voice packet alternates with one labeled data packet (see Fig. 2, one of the B channels is dynamically allocated to voice signal, for example B1, leaving the next channel, B2, available for data, column 3, lines 42-48).

8. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al., in view of Downes, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the Applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding the specific line rates and packet sizes given in claim 5, the Applicant's admitted prior art discloses calculating the amount of space left in a data stream for user data. Given high priority data that must be sent through the data stream at a constant rate, and a certain line rate, there is only so much "room" left for other low priority data. Accordingly, as the rate of the data stream increases, the amount of "room" for low priority data increases as well, which means the size of each low priority data packet increases as well.

Therefore, in view of the teachings of Phillips et al. and Downes and further in view the Applicant's admitted prior art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to store any number of line rates and corresponding data packet sizes in a table, so that the system would be able to quickly respond to any changes in the line speed and adjust the data packet size accordingly.

Art Unit: 2655

9. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al., in view of Downes, as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Kimura et al. (U.S. Patent 5,778,189).

Neither Phillips et al. nor Downes disclose specific identifiers to indicate what portions of an Ethernet frame are contained within a packet.

Kimura et al. disclose that when an Ethernet frame (Fig. 7, FR3) must be divided for transmission, information for data sequence control must be added so that the full Ethernet frame can be reconstructed after transmission (column 9, lines 13-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify the combination of Phillips et al. and Downes to include identifiers indicating whether the data packets contained an entire Ethernet frame, a first portion of an Ethernet frame, or a last portion of an Ethernet frame, so the Ethernet frames could be properly reconstructed after transmission over the WAN.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee (*An Integrated Transport Technique for Circuit and Packet Switched Traffic*) and Chao (*Design of Transmission and Multiplexing Systems for Broadband Packet Networks*) disclose the use of dynamic time division multiplexing to handle continuous and bursty network traffic effectively.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2655

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian L Albertalli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7616. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, every second Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BLA 6/20/05

  
**SUSAN MCFADDEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**